Fitch Revises Outlook on 23 French Government-Related Entities to Negative

Fri 22 May, 2020 - 11:01 AM ET

Fitch Ratings - Paris - 22 May 2020: Fitch Ratings has revised the Outlook on 23 French government-related entities (GRE) to Negative from Stable following similar action on the French state (AA/Negative) on 15 May 2020. The entities' Long-Term Issuer Default Ratings (IDRs) have been affirmed. A full list of rating actions is detailed below.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

On 15 May 2020, Fitch revised the Outlook on the French state to Negative from Stable, and affirmed its Long-Term IDR at 'AA'. This rating action has a direct impact on the Outlooks of 23 entities considered as GRE of the French state. This includes 14 entities whose ratings are equalised with the French state, seven entities that are rated on a top-down approach from the French state's ratings, and two social housing providers rated under a bottom-up approach. All these rating actions were based on Fitch's GRE criteria.
Fitch equalises the ratings of 14 entities with those of the French state: Agence centrale des organismes de sécurité sociale (ACOSS), Agence française de développement (AFD), Caisse d'amortissement de la dette sociale (CADES), Caisse de dépots et Consignations (CDC), Caisse nationale des autoroutes (CNA), EPIC Bpifrance, Société du Grand Paris (SGP), Unedic, Action Logement Groupe, Action Logement Services, Action Logement Immobilier, Assistance Publique- Hôpitaux de Paris (AP-HP), RATP, SNCF Reseau. This reflects a score of 45 or above under our GRE criteria. A one-notch downgrade of the sovereign would be lead to a one-notch downgrade of these 14 entities. The entities above either do not have Standalone Credit Profiles (SCP), or their SCPs do not drive their ratings. This includes Action Logement Immobilier (bbb+), AP-HP (bb), RATP (a-) and SNCF Reseau (bbb+).

Fitch rates seven entities on a top-down rating approach, for which ratings would be downgraded by one notch if the sovereign was downgraded by one notch, all other things unchanged. Five entities are rated 'AA-', one notch below the French state: Assistance publique-Hôpitaux de Marseille (AP-HM), the university hospitals (CHU) of Nancy, Rennes and Strasbourg, and CDC Habitat. This reflects a score of 35 or 40 under our GRE criteria. The SCPs of these entities are all more than four notches away from the French state as they are currently 'bb-' (AP-HM; CHU Nancy), 'bb+' (CHU Strasbourg) or 'bbb+' (CHU Rennes, CDC Habitat). In addition, two entities are rated 'A+', two notches below the French state: La Poste and Société nationale SNCF SA. This reflects a score of 30 under our GRE criteria. The SCPs of these entities are currently 'bbb', six notches away from the state.

Centre Hospitalier of Roubaix's (A/Positive) ratings and Outlook are unaffected. The Positive Outlook reflects the hospital’s sound and improving debt ratios, which could lead to an upward revision of the SCP to 'a-' from 'bbb+' and an upgrade of the IDR. The possibility of this upgrade would remain if France was downgraded to 'AA-'.

Fitch rates two French social housing providers under a bottom-up approach, for which ratings would be downgraded by one notch if the sovereign was downgraded by one notch, all other things unchanged: Clesence and Logirep. Their 'A' IDRs results from 'bbb+' SCPs and a two-notch uplift applied based on the support expected from the French public sector, which reflects a score of 17.5 under our GRE criteria. If France was downgraded by one-notch, the uplift applied would be constrained at 'A-', three notches away from the sovereign rating, according to our GRE criteria.
The constraint described above would not apply for the following entities rated on a bottom-up approach: Résidences Logement des fonctionnaires (RLF), Vilogia, French Red Cross, and Sciences Po. As a result, their ratings and Stable Outlooks are unaffected.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:

A revision of the sovereign Outlook to Stable would result in similar action on these effected entities, assuming other factors were unchanged.

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade:

A one-notch downgrade of the sovereign would be reflected in a similar one-notch downgrade of all these effected entities, assuming other factors were unchanged.

BEST/WORST CASE RATING SCENARIO

International scale credit ratings of Public Finance issuers have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of three notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579.

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

**ESG CONSIDERATIONS**

The highest level of ESG credit relevance, if present, is a score of 3. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity(ies), either due to their nature or to the way in which they are being managed by the entity(ies). For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/esg.

### RATING ACTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENTITY/DEBT</th>
<th>RATING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agence Centrale des Organismes de Securite Sociale (ACOSS)</td>
<td>LT IDR AA Affirmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC Habitat</td>
<td>LT IDR AA- Affirmed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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APPLICABLE CRITERIA

Government-Related Entities Rating Criteria (pub. 13 Nov 2019)
Public Sector, Revenue-Supported Entities Rating Criteria (pub. 27 Mar 2020)
(including rating assumption sensitivity)

ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES

Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form
Solicitation Status
Endorsement Policy

ENDORSEMENT STATUS

Action Logement Groupe
Action Logement Immobilier
Action Logement Services
Agence Centrale des Organismes de Securite Sociale (ACOSS)
Agence Francaise de Developpement
Assistance Publique - Hopitaux de Paris (AP-HP)
Assistance Publique Hopitaux de Marseille
Caisse d'Amortissement de la Dette Sociale (CADES)
Caisse des Depots et Consignations
Caisse Nationale des Autoroutes (CNA)
CDC Habitat
Centre Hospitalier Regional Universitaire de Nancy
Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Rennes
Clesence
EPIC Bpifrance
Hopitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg
La Poste
Regie Autonome des Transports Parisiens (RATP)
SNCF Reseau
Societe Anonyme d'Habitations a Loyer Modere Logement et Gestion Immobiliere pour le Reg
Societe du Grand Paris
and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public
information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability
of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon
procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions
and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and
competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or
in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of
Fitch’s ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual
investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information
Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete.
Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the
information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other
reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts,
including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys
with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and
other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and
predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a
result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected
by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or
forecast was issued or affirmed.
The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or
warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any
of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch
rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports
made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is
continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the
collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely
responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due
to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not
engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship.
Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely
responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact
purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a
substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the
issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be
changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch
does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation
to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market
price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt

nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US$1,000 to US$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US$10,000 to US$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001.

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

SOLICITATION STATUS

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

ENDORSEMENT POLICY

Fitch's approach to ratings endorsement so that ratings produced outside the EU may be used by regulated entities within the EU for regulatory purposes, pursuant
to the terms of the EU Regulation with respect to credit rating agencies, can be found on the EU Regulatory Disclosures page. The endorsement status of all International ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for all structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.